

UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/211,691 12/14/98 GILBERT М 14137-129-10 **EXAMINER** 020350 HM12/0226 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW ART UNIT TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER PAPER NUMBER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834 1652 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/26/01

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/211,691

Peter Tung

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Gilbert et al.

Group Art Unit

1652



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 28-32	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-27 and 33-35	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on is/are object.	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	shor)
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No. Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/211,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and election of species of sialytransferase and CMP-sialic acid synthetase in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examining the claims of Groups I (nucleic acid) and II (polypeptides) together would not create a serious burden. This is not found persuasive because a search for nucleic acids would not necessarily include literature that a search for polypeptides would require.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

It is noted that for Group I, with an election of species of sialytransferase and CMP-sialic synthetase, the claims are 1-13, 23-27 and 33-35.

2. Claims 1-35 are pending. Claims 28-32 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-27 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled

Application/Control Number: 09/211,691

based upon the instant disclosure.

Art Unit: 1652

in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims. The breadth of the claims encompass a polynucleotide encoding a catalytic domain of a glycosyltransferase and a catalytic domain of an accessory enzyme which catalyzes a step in the formation of a nucleotide sugar. However, insufficient examples and guidance are provided on catalytic domains of any glycosyltransferase or said accessory enzymes. The skill of those in the art is low in determining the catalytic domains of an enzyme and the prior art does not teach catalytic domains of a glycosyltransferase or said accessory enzymes. Additionally, by only having the catalytic domains of said enzymes, one of skill in the art would not necessarily know how to use the fusion protein as a protein comprising only the catalytic domain would not necessarily

5. Claims 1-27 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polynucleotide which encodes a fusion protein comprising a specifically identified glycosyltransferase and a specifically identified accessory enzyme, does not

have enzymatic function. Undue experimentation would be required to make and use the invention

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/211,691

Art Unit: 1652

reasonably provide enablement for a polynucleotide which encodes a fusion protein comprising any glycosyltransferase any accessory enzyme which catalyzes a step in the formation of a nucleotide sugar which is a saccharide donor for a glycosyltransferase. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims. The breadth of the claims encompass a polynucleotide encoding a fusion polypeptide comprising a catalytic domain of any glycosyltransferase and a catalytic domain of any accessory enzyme which catalyzes a step in the formation of a nucleotide sugar which is a saccharide donor for said glycosyltransferase. Insufficient guidance and working examples are provided of polynucleotides encoding a fusion enzyme comprising a glycosyltransferase and the specific accessory enzyme. One of skill in the art would not know how to make or use a polynucleotides encoding a fusion enzyme comprising any glycosyltransferase with any accessory enzyme. Without a specific accessory enzyme, one of skill in the art would not know how to make and use the glycosyltransferase. Without a specific glycosyltransferase enzyme, one of skill in the art would not know how to make and use the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/211,691 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

appropriate accessory enzyme. Additionally, the breadth of the claim of an accessory enzyme which catalyzes a step in the formation of a nucleotide sugar encompasses any enzyme involved in any step of biosynthesis of a nucleotide or a sugar. Insufficient guidance and examples are provided on those enzymes which are encompassed by said accessory enzymes. Undue experimentation would be required to enable the full scope of the claims based upon the instant disclosure.

- Claims 1-14, 16-27 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Adequate description for the species encompassed by the claim would have relevant identifying characteristics which include 1) structure, 2) physical and/or chemical characteristics, 3) functional characteristics when coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, 4) a combination of these. The instant claims are drawn to DNA encoding a glycosyltransferase and an accessory enzyme which catalyzes a step in the formation of a nucleotide sugar. However, no DNA sequences or sufficient methods to obtain those DNA sequences are provided. No correlation is disclosed or is well known relating functional characteristics with structure. Therefore the claimed DNAs are inadequately described.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/211,691 Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

8. Claims 4, 9, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 9. Claim 4 is indefinite as it is unclear how a catalytic domain of a glycosyltransferase would have included domains or regions which were not involved in catalysis, i.e. cytoplasmic domain, signal-anchor domain and stem region.
- 10. Claim 9 is unclear as to the members of the Markush group. It is not clear if the GDP mannose enzymes are grouped as one cluster of accessory enzymes or if they are to be considered each as separate members of the Markush group.
- 11. Claims 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: and isolating the fusion polypeptide.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Tung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-9436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-0294.

Application/Control Number: 09/211,691

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PONNATHAPUACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800 Page 7